

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,104	08/02/2005	Mark Ibberson	ARS-107	9640
23557 7590 08/21/2007 SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION			EXAMINER	
			STOICA, ELLY GERALD	
PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1647	
			MAIN BATTE	DELWERYMORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/530,104	IBBERSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elly-Gerald Stoica	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		4
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Jules</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-91</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-91</u> are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

1. Applicant in the amendment filed on 07/02/2007, timely traversed the restriction requirement. In the same amendment, the abstract was provided. A notice of non-responsive amendment was sent to the Applicant in error. As a result of the telephonic interview with the attorney of record, Frank Eisenschenk, on 08/13/2007, the notice is withdrawn and a supplementary election/ restriction requirement is set forth below, for the newly presented claims 58-91.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions that are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 58 (in part), 59-63 and 87 (in part), drawn to an isolated polypeptide.

Group II, claims 58 (in part), 64 -76, 86, 87, 89 and 90, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding for a polypeptide and a fusion protein, a vector comprising it and a cell transformed with the vector or and a process of using it.

Group III, claim 77 (in part) drawn to an antagonist of a polypeptide or a fusion protein.

Art Unit: 1647

Group IV, claims 78-80 (all in part), 81-84, drawn to an antibody to a polypeptide.

Group V, claim 85, drawn to a peptide mimetic for a polypeptide.

Group VI, claim 88, drawn to an antisense polynucleotide or a small interfering

RNA.

Group VII, claim 91, drawn to a method of identifying an agonist or antagonist of

a polypeptide.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive

concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they do not present a

common structure linked to a common function. Each of the inventions of the groups I-

VI has or relate to different compounds with different structures and functions. A

comprehensive search for all the structures would have been an undue burden on the

Examiner. The invention of group VII represents a method of use for inventions I, II, III.

The invention of group VII and the Inventions IV, V and VI are independent. Because

the search for all the inventions would have been burdensome for the Examiner, the

restriction is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: a membrane-bound protein, an immunoglobulin constant region, a multimerization domains, an extracellular protein, a signal peptide-containing protein or an export signal containing protein.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 58 (in part), 66 (in part), 77 (in part), 78 (in part), 86 (in part), and 89 (in part).
- 6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they do not present a common structure linked to a common function. The species of each category have or relate to different compounds with different structures and functions. A comprehensive search for all the structures would have been an undue burden on the Examiner.

Because the search for all the structures or methods would have been burdensome for the Examiner, the election requirement is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/530,104

Art Unit: 1647

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elly-Gerald Stoica whose telephone number is (571) 272-9941. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary B. Nickol can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LORRAINE SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER